

Canada

Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division, Statistics Canada

**General Social Survey, 2013 [Canada]:
Cycle 27, Social Identity [version 2]**

Study Documentation

December 12, 2017

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Ontario Data Documentation, Extraction Service and Infrastructure (ODESI) , Scholars Portal
Production Date	December 30, 2015
Version	Version July 22, 2015
Identification	gss-89M0032x-E-2013-c27

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	5
Sampling	5
Data Collection	5
Accessibility	6
Rights & Disclaimer	6
Files Description	7
gss27pumf	7
Variables Group(s)	8
Shared values of respondent	8
Discrimination	8
Religion of respondent	9
Variables Description	11
gss27pumf	12

General Social Survey, 2013 [Canada]: Cycle 27, Social Identity [version 2] (GSS 27)

Enquête sociale générale : Identité sociale, fichier de microdonnées à grande diffusion

Overview	
Type	General Social Survey
Identification	gss-89M0032x-E-2013-c27
Version	<p>Production Date: 2015-07-09 Version 2</p> <p><u>Notes</u> Correction date: July 9, 2015
 Corrections have been made to this product.
 Please take note of the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Truncated response category labels: Full text added to the variable notes; • Spouse/partner variables: Response category for "no spouse/partner in the household" and universe statements revised for the following variables: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AGEPRGRO • AGEPRGRD • MAP_110 • EOP_200 • BRTHPCAN • AIP_01 • VISMINPR <p>Missing values were also declared for the above variables. Data file, syntax file, and documentation replaced</p>
Series	The two primary objectives of the General Social Survey (GSS) are: to gather data on social trends in order to monitor changes in the living conditions and well being of Canadians over time; and to provide information on specific social policy issues of current or emerging interest.
<u>Abstract</u>	
The 2013 GSS was the third cycle to collect information on social networks and social engagement in Canada. The previous iteration of the survey was Social Networks in 2008 and the first, Social Engagement in 2003. In addition to content previously covered, the 2013 GSS included new questions on pride, appreciation of national symbols and shared values. Data were also collected on the respondents' main activity, as well as education, religion, income and other socio demographic characteristics.	
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Family, National symbols, Neighbourhood, Politics, Pride, Sense of belonging, Shared values, Social engagement, Social networks, Trust, Voting, Well-being
Topics	Society and community, Social network and civic participation
<u>Time Period(s)</u>	2013-2014
<u>Countries</u>	Canada
<u>Geographic Coverage</u> Province, Region	
<u>Universe</u> Included: persons aged 15 years and over living in private households in Canada	

Excluded: residents of the Yukon, Northwest Territories and Nunavut and full time residents of institutions

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division, Statistics Canada
Other Producer(s)	Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division (SASD) , Statistics Canada

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

Stratification for the regular sample
In order to carry out sampling, each of the ten provinces were divided into strata (i.e. - geographic areas). Many of the Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) were each considered separate strata. This was the case for St. John's, Halifax, Saint John, Montreal, Quebec City, Toronto, Ottawa, Hamilton, Winnipeg, Regina, Saskatoon, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver.

All CMAs not on this list are located in Quebec, Ontario and British Columbia, with the exception of Moncton. Three more strata were formed by grouping the remaining CMAs (except Moncton) in each of Quebec, Ontario and British Columbia. Finally, the non-CMA areas of each of the ten provinces were also grouped to form ten more strata, for a total of 27 strata. Moncton was added to the non-CMA stratum for New Brunswick.

Stratification for the oversample of immigrants and youth
Only specific geographies were targeted for the oversample of immigrants and youth.

For the oversample of immigrants, only the Census Metropolitan Areas of Montreal, Toronto, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver were considered as separate strata. Another stratum was formed by grouping the provinces of Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. The province of Saskatchewan also formed a stratum on its own. Lastly, all the remaining geographic areas of the ten provinces not covered previously were grouped together to form the last stratum.

For the oversample of youth, only the Census Metropolitan Areas of Halifax, Montreal, Ottawa, Toronto, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver were covered by the frame and considered as separate strata. Also, for each geographic area, two strata were formed: one with the households including at least one immigrant between the age of 15 and 24 and one with the households including at least one non-immigrant between the age of 15 and 24.

Response Rate

Overall response rate was 48.1% (48.9% for the regular sample, 45.0% for the oversample of immigrants and 48.1% for the oversample of youth)

Weighting

WGHT_PER: This is the basic weighting factor for analysis at the person level, i.e. to calculate estimates of the number of persons (non-institutionalized and aged 15 or over) having one or several given characteristics. WGHT_PER should be used for all person-level estimates. For example, to estimate the number of persons who say their health is excellent, the value of WGHT_PER is summed over all records with this characteristic.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2013-06 end 2014-03
Data Collection Mode	Computer assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) and electronic questionnaire

Data Collection Notes

Respondents were interviewed in the official language of their choice.
Proxy interviews were not allowed.
For the electronic questionnaire, respondents who accepted the offer to complete the survey online (EQ), provided their email address to finish the CATI portion of the interview and were then sent an email invitation the next business day. Respondents could then access the survey using the link and access code provided in the invitation email⁴. Reminder emails were sent every 7 days (up to a maximum of 3) until the respondent submitted the EQ survey or the online collection portal closed⁵.

Respondents, who did not complete the survey online after the final email reminder (or prior to the close of the EQ portal) were transferred back to the CATI environment for telephone follow up.

Data Collector(s)	Statistics Canada
--------------------------	-------------------

Accessibility

Access Authority	Data Liberation Initiative (DLI) (Statistics Canada) , http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/dli/dli
-------------------------	--

Contact(s)	Data Liberation Initiative (DLI) (Statistics Canada) , http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/dli/dli
-------------------	--

Distributor(s)	Data Liberation Initiative
-----------------------	----------------------------

Access Conditions

DLI License

Citation Requirements

Statistics Canada hereby grants to the Licensee a non-exclusive, non-assignable and non-transferable licence to use the Microdata files and related documentation for statistical and research purposes. The Microdata files shall not be used for any other purposes without the prior written consent of Statistics Canada.
(Appendix 1, Section 6, DLI Licence Agreement - Microdata Files)

Rights & Disclaimer

Copyright	Copyright (c) Statistics Canada, 2015
------------------	---------------------------------------

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

gss27pumf	
# Cases	27534
# Variable(s)	789
<p><u>Version</u> Version 2 Statistics Canada. Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division Correction date: July 9, 2015
 Corrections have been made to this product.
 Please take note of the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Truncated response category labels: Full text added to the variable notes; • Spouse/partner variables: Response category for "no spouse/partner in the household" and universe statements revised for the following variables: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AGEPRGRO • AGEPRGRD • MAP_110 • EOP_200 • BRTHPCAN • AIP_01 • VISMINPR <p>Missing values were also declared for the above variables. Data file, syntax file, and documentation replaced</p>	

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 48 group(s) total - showing a subset of 3

Group Shared values of respondent							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	SVR_10	Canadian shared values - Human rights	discrete	numeric-1.0	26924	610	To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values? Human rights
2	SVR_25	Canadian shared values - Respect for the law	discrete	numeric-1.0	27119	415	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Respect for the law
3	SVR_30	Canadian shared values - Gender equality	discrete	numeric-1.0	26955	579	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Gender equality
4	SVR_35	Canadian shared values - Official languages	discrete	numeric-1.0	26785	749	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) English and French as Canada's official languages
5	SVR_40	Canadian shared values - Ethnic and cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-1.0	26656	878	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Ethnic and cultural diversity
6	SVR_45	Canadian shared values - Respect for Aboriginal culture	discrete	numeric-1.0	26683	851	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Respect for Aboriginal culture

Group Discrimination							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	DIS_10	Experienced discrimination - Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	27125	409	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your sex?
2	DIS_15	Experienced discrimination - Ethnicity or culture	discrete	numeric-1.0	27101	433	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your ethnicity or culture?
3	DIS_20	Experienced discrimination - Race or skin colour	discrete	numeric-1.0	27089	445	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your race or culture?
4	DIS_25	Experienced discrimination - Physical appearance	discrete	numeric-1.0	27112	422	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your physical appearance (other than skin colour)?
5	DIS_30	Experienced discrimination - Religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	27104	430	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your religion?
6	DIS_35	Experienced discrimination - Sexual orientation	discrete	numeric-1.0	27074	460	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							because of: your sexual orientation?
7	DIS_40	Experienced discrimination - Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	27036	498	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your age?
8	DIS_45	Experienced discrimination - Physical or mental disability	discrete	numeric-1.0	27061	473	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: a disability (physical or mental)?
9	DIS_50	Experienced discrimination - Language	discrete	numeric-1.0	27098	436	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your language?
10	DIS_55	Experienced discrimination - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	26158	1376	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: for some other reason?
11	DISCRIM	Victim of discrimination - 5 years	discrete	numeric-1.0	26615	919	Respondent has been a victim of discrimination in the past five years
12	DTS_20	Experienced discrimination - Bank, store, restaurant	discrete	numeric-1.0	8069	19465	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: in a store, bank or restaurant?
13	DTS_25	Experienced discrimination - Work environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	8086	19448	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: at work or when applying for a job or promotion?
14	DTS_30	Experienced discrimination - Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	8061	19473	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when dealing with police?
15	DTS_35	Experienced discrimination - Courts	discrete	numeric-1.0	8039	19495	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when dealing with the courts?
16	DTS_60	Experienced discrimination - Canadian border	discrete	numeric-1.0	8085	19449	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when crossing the border into Canada?
17	DTS_65	Experienced discrimination - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	7640	19894	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: any other situation?

Group Religion of respondent

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	RELIG7	Religion of respondent - 7 categories	discrete	numeric-2.0	26280	1254	Religion of respondent - 7 categories
2	REE_02	Frequency of religious participation - 12 months	discrete	numeric-1.0	26986	548	Not counting events such as weddings or funerals, during the 12 months, how often did you participate in religious activities or attend religious services or meetings?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	REE_03	Frequency of religious participation - On one's own - 12 months	discrete	numeric-2.0	26875	659	In the 12 months , how often did you engage in religious or spiritual activities on your own, including prayer, meditation and other forms of worship taking place at home or in any other location?
4	RLR_110	Importance of religious or spiritual beliefs	discrete	numeric-1.0	26901	633	How important are your religious or spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life? Would you say they are...?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 789 variable(s) total - showing a subset of 85

File : gss27pumf

RECID: Record identification

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-27695] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27534 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13844.147 /-] [StdDev=7992.428 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Record identification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99996	Valid skip		
99997	Don't know		
99998	Refusal		
99999	Not stated		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

AGEGR10: Age group of respondent (groups of 10)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27534 / 29131912.933] [Invalid=0 / 0]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Age group of respondent (groups of 10)

Notes Derived from household composition matrix

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	15 to 24 years	3740	4537473.8	15.6%
2	25 to 34 years	3407	4897332.6	16.8%
3	35 to 44 years	4417	4667443.1	16.0%
4	45 to 54 years	4733	5274694.7	18.1%
5	55 to 64 years	5164	4588947.0	15.8%
6	65 to 74 years	3726	2980046.3	10.2%
7	75 years and over	2347	2185975.5	7.5%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	0	0.0	
98	Refusal	0	0.0	
99	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SEX: Sex of respondent

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27534 / 29131912.933] [Invalid=0 / 0]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Sex of Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	12613	14388231.8	49.4%
2	Female	14921	14743681.1	50.6%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	0	0.0	
8	Refusal	0	0.0	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gss27pumf

PRCODE: Province of residence

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-59] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27534 / 29131912.933] [Invalid=0 / 0]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Province of residence of the respondent

Notes Derived from household postal code

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	992	431481.8	1.5%
11	Prince Edward Island	493	120678.6	0.4%
12	Nova Scotia	1638	796126.4	2.7%
13	New Brunswick	1272	631534.5	2.2%
24	Quebec	5301	6754021.9	23.2%
35	Ontario	7187	11308407.3	38.8%
46	Manitoba	2035	1027103.7	3.5%
47	Saskatchewan	1673	874368.8	3.0%
48	Alberta	3651	3245926.6	11.1%
59	British Columbia	3292	3942263.3	13.5%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	0	0.0	
98	Refusal	0	0.0	
99	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LUC_RST: Population centres indicator

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27534 / 29131912.933] [Invalid=0 / 0]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Population centres indicator

Notes For definitions of Census Metropolitan Area (CMA), Census Agglomeration (CA) and Rural and Small population centre areas, refer to Statistics Canada (2012) Illustrated Glossary (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, Catalogue no. 92-195-XWE). An electronic version is available at www.statcan.ca (www.statcan.ca).
Derived variable from PRV and SACFLAG

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Larger urban population centres (CMA/CA)	22881	24442970.0	83.9%
2	Rural areas/small population centres (non-CMA/CA)	4160	4568264.3	15.7%
3	Prince Edward Island	493	120678.6	0.4%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	0	0.0	
8	Refusal	0	0.0	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

IUM_10: Internet use - Past month

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27507 / 29103501.414] [Invalid=27 / 28411.519]

File : gss27pumf

IUM_10: Internet use - Past month

Universe All respondents

Literal question In the past month, did you use the Internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	22840	24911282.2	85.6%
2	No	4667	4192219.2	14.4%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	3	1756.3	
8	Refusal	24	26655.2	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

IUY_01: Internet use - 12 months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4683 / 4211989.456] [Invalid=22851 / 24919923.477]

Universe IUM_Q10 ne 1

Literal question In the past 12 months, did you use the Internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	771	714720.3	17.0%
2	No	3912	3497269.2	83.0%
6	Valid skip	22840	24911282.2	
7	Don't know	1	905.0	
8	Refusal	10	7736.3	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ICR_10: Internet use -12 months - Social networking website

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23588 / 25606832.905] [Invalid=3946 / 3525080.028]

Universe IUM_Q10 = 1 or IUY_Q01 = 1

Literal question In the past 12 months, have you used the Internet to access a social networking website (such as Facebook or Twitter)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	15985	17920619.2	70.0%
2	No	7603	7686213.7	30.0%
6	Valid skip	3923	3505910.5	
7	Don't know	6	2625.0	
8	Refusal	17	16544.5	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SOCNET: Social networking account

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=15878 / 17805270.134] [Invalid=11656 / 11326642.799]

Universe ICR_Q10 = 1

Literal question Respondent has a social networking account

File : gss27pumf

SOCNET: Social networking account

Notes Derived from ICR_Q20A to ICR_Q20G

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	15641	17561168.5	98.6%
2	No	237	244101.7	1.4%
6	Valid skip	11549	11211293.7	
7	Don't know	36	39004.2	
8	Refusal	67	68896.5	
9	Not stated	4	7448.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ICR_30: Social networking account - Frequency of access

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=15618 / 17536270.025] [Invalid=11916 / 11595642.908]

Universe ICR_20F = 2

Literal question How often do you access you social networking site(s)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Several times a day	6524	7721218.2	44.0%
2	About once a day	4182	4590533.3	26.2%
3	3-5 times a week	1502	1637431.8	9.3%
4	1-2 times a week	1729	1740763.4	9.9%
5	A few times per month	1014	1099927.9	6.3%
6	Less than once a month	613	684875.6	3.9%
7	Never	54	61519.8	0.4%
96	Valid skip	11893	11570744.5	
97	Don't know	15	15952.8	
98	Refusal	8	8945.6	
99	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWR_40: Communication with relatives - Level of satisfaction

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27083 / 28709625.945] [Invalid=451 / 422286.988]

Universe SCR_Q10 ne 3

Literal question Overall, how satisfied are you with how often you communicate with your relatives? Are you...?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	8894	9156340.0	31.9%
2	Satisfied	13819	14412294.6	50.2%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2919	3504761.2	12.2%
4	Dissatisfied	1215	1379951.5	4.8%
5	Very dissatisfied	236	256278.7	0.9%
6	Valid skip	299	263959.8	
7	Don't know	80	82896.2	
8	Refusal	72	75431.0	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

File : gss27pumf

CWR_40: Communication with relatives - Level of satisfaction

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWR_45: Contact with relatives - Reason for dissatisfaction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1429 / 1612442.659] [Invalid=26105 / 27519470.274]
Universe	CWR_Q40 = 4 or 5
Literal question	Are you dissatisfied because you communicate with your family members too often or not often enough?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Too often	32	43710.3	2.7%
2	Not often enough	1397	1568732.4	97.3%
6	Valid skip	26083	27495682.7	
7	Don't know	16	17507.2	
8	Refusal	6	6280.3	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RFE_10C: Number of relatives respondent feels close to

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26855 / 28482061.187] [Invalid=679 / 649851.746]
Universe	SCR_Q10 ne 3
Literal question	How many relatives do you have who you feel close to, (that is, who you feel at ease with, can talk to about what is on your mind, or call on for help)?
Notes	Derived from RFE_10

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
200	200 or more	22	23527.0	100.0%
996	Valid skip	299	263959.8	
997	Don't know	271	265314.7	
998	Refusal	109	120577.2	
999	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SCF_100C: Number of close friends

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27112 / 28742470.671] [Invalid=422 / 389442.262]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many close friends do you have, (that is, people who are not your relatives, but who you feel at ease with, can talk to about what is on your mind, or call on for help)?
Notes	Derived from SCF_100

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
200	200 or more	17	12319.4	100.0%
996	Valid skip	0	0.0	
997	Don't know	298	256389.4	
998	Refusal	124	133052.9	
999	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gss27pumf

SCF_101: Close friend - Same city/community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1722 / 1756496.477] [Invalid=25812 / 27375416.456]
Universe	SCF_Q100 = 1
Literal question	Does your close friend live in the same city or local community as you?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1182	1180999.4	67.2%
2	No	540	575497.0	32.8%
6	Valid skip	25808	27371568.8	
7	Don't know	0	0.0	
8	Refusal	4	3847.7	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SCF_102C: Close friends - Same city/community

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23602 / 25204731.587] [Invalid=3932 / 3927181.346]
Universe	SCF_Q100 > 1
Literal question	Of these ^SCF_Q100 close friends, how many live in the same city or local community as you?
Notes	Derived from SCF_102

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
200	200 or more	8	7833.5	100.0%
996	Valid skip	3908	3909538.9	
997	Don't know	13	8991.8	
998	Refusal	11	8650.7	
999	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CLSCONGC: Number of local personal contacts - Relatives and friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25084 / 26713433.5] [Invalid=2450 / 2418479.433]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Number of local personal contacts - Relatives and friends
Notes	Derived from RFE_Q20, RFE_Q25, SCF_Q101, SCF_Q102, CWF_Q10 and CWF_Q20

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 5 personal contacts	3967	4206722.1	15.7%
2	5 to 9 personal contacts	4109	4208157.8	15.8%
3	10 to 14 personal contacts	3580	3646574.6	13.7%
4	15 to 24 personal contacts	4921	5260476.4	19.7%
5	25 to 49 personal contacts	4877	5319773.7	19.9%
6	50 to 74 personal contacts	1576	1727807.6	6.5%
7	75 or more personal contacts	2054	2343921.3	8.8%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	1875	1771871.1	

File : gss27pumf

CLSCONGC: Number of local personal contacts - Relatives and friends

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Refusal	575	646608.4	
99	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWF_40: Contact with friends - Past month - Telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26346 / 27963263.947] [Invalid=1188 / 1168648.986]
Universe	SCF_Q100 > 0 or SCF_Q110 > 0
Literal question	(Thinking of [all your friends/your friend], in the past month:) how often did you talk with [any of your friends/your friend] by telephone?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	3145	3058569.9	10.9%
2	A few times a week	6721	6772522.2	24.2%
3	Once a week	5179	5512160.8	19.7%
4	2 or 3 times a month	4242	4575991.6	16.4%
5	Once a month	3360	3729841.1	13.3%
6	Not in the past month	3699	4314178.3	15.4%
96	Valid skip	611	615578.0	
97	Don't know	66	61387.4	
98	Refusal	95	103408.6	
99	Not stated	416	388275.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWF_45: Contact with friends - Past month - Text

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16920 / 19438352.595] [Invalid=10614 / 9693560.338]
Universe	(SCF_Q100 > 0 or SCF_Q110 > 0) and CWR_Q25 ne 7
Literal question	(Thinking of [all your friends/your friend], in the past month :)- How often did you communicate with [any of your friends/your friend] by text message?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	4981	6269833.5	32.3%
2	A few times a week	4024	4797120.5	24.7%
3	Once a week	1675	1948884.2	10.0%
4	2 or 3 times a month	1435	1648428.1	8.5%
5	Once a month	979	1059584.3	5.5%
6	Not in the past month	3826	3714502.0	19.1%
96	Valid skip	10272	9358670.4	
97	Don't know	18	18587.9	
98	Refusal	101	90484.3	
99	Not stated	223	225817.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWF_50: Contact with friends - Past month - Email/Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22136 / 24219956.579] [Invalid=5398 / 4911956.354]

File : gss27pumf

CWF_50: Contact with friends - Past month - Email/Internet

Universe	(SCF_Q100 > 0 or SCF_Q110 > 0) and IUM_Q10 = 1
Literal question	(Thinking of [all your friends/your friend], in the past month:) How often did you communicate with [any of your friends/your friend] by email or by Internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Every day	3958	4489921.3	18.5%
2	A few times a week	5431	6039076.8	24.9%
3	Once a week	2937	3246361.9	13.4%
4	2 or 3 times a month	2781	3013584.7	12.4%
5	Once a month	2001	2219322.3	9.2%
6	Not in the past month	3346	3643030.6	15.0%
7	Did not use email in the past month	1682	1568659.0	6.5%
96	Valid skip	5008	4535223.8	
97	Don't know	37	25757.4	
98	Refusal	96	91936.1	
99	Not stated	257	259039.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWF_60: Communication with friends - Level of satisfaction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26391 / 28016170.335] [Invalid=1143 / 1115742.599]
Universe	SCF_Q100 > 0 or SCF_Q110 > 0
Literal question	Overall, how satisfied are you with how often you communicate with your [friends/friend]? Are you...?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	8544	8954498.4	32.0%
2	Satisfied	14071	14674379.0	52.4%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2555	2884925.6	10.3%
4	Dissatisfied	1129	1399052.0	5.0%
5	Very dissatisfied	92	103315.4	0.4%
6	Valid skip	611	615578.0	
7	Don't know	33	26971.5	
8	Refusal	83	84918.1	
9	Not stated	416	388275.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CWF_65: Communication with friends - Reason for dissatisfaction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1212 / 1496440.251] [Invalid=26322 / 27635472.683]
Universe	CWF_Q60 = 4 or 5
Literal question	Are you dissatisfied because you communicate with them too often or not often enough?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Too often	26	27264.9	1.8%
2	Not often enough	1186	1469175.4	98.2%
6	Valid skip	26313	27629545.5	
7	Don't know	7	4209.2	

File : gss27pumf

CWF_65: Communication with friends - Reason for dissatisfaction

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Refusal	2	1718.0	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SCG_160: Contact with friends - Past month - Same age group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26057 / 27678508.456] [Invalid=1477 / 1453404.478]
Universe	CWF_FLAG = 1
Literal question	(Think of all the friends you had contact with in the past month, whether the contact was in person, by telephone, by text or by email. Of all these people: how many are around the same age group as you?)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All	5792	6678402.6	24.1%
2	Most	11405	12402590.8	44.8%
3	About half	4739	4734329.8	17.1%
4	A few	3406	3209173.6	11.6%
5	None	715	654011.6	2.4%
6	Valid skip	412	416087.9	
7	Don't know	159	151809.9	
8	Refusal	22	20948.7	
9	Not stated	884	864558.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SCP_115: New person met on Internet - Past month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1285 / 1352256.346] [Invalid=26249 / 27779656.587]
Universe	SCP_Q110 = 1 and IUM_Q10 ne 2
Literal question	Did you meet this person on the Internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	115	122833.2	9.1%
2	No	1170	1229423.1	90.9%
6	Valid skip	26242	27769497.3	
7	Don't know	1	1695.2	
8	Refusal	6	8464.1	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SCP_120C: Number of new people met on Internet - Past month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9850 / 11055291.853] [Invalid=17684 / 18076621.08]
Universe	SCP_Q110 > 1 and IUM_Q10 ne 2
Literal question	Of these ^SCP_Q110 people, how many did you meet on the Internet?
Notes	Derived from SCP_120

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0		8045	9130851.7	82.6%

File : gss27pumf

SCP_120C: Number of new people met on Internet - Past month

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1		375	405598.4	3.7%
2		532	569247.8	5.1%
3		232	233073.6	2.1%
4		96	96738.1	0.9%
5	5 or more	570	619782.2	5.6%
6	Valid skip	17658	18049180.4	
7	Don't know	8	6523.7	
8	Refusal	18	20916.9	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCG_310: Volunteer work - Average number of hours per month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9902 / 10261221.08] [Invalid=17632 / 18870691.853]
Universe	VCG_Q300 = 1
Literal question	On average, about how many hours per month did you volunteer?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	15 hours or more per month	2572	2545988.6	24.8%
2	Between 5 and less than 15 hours per month	3426	3508589.2	34.2%
3	Between 1 and less than 5 hours per month	2931	3111489.9	30.3%
4	Less than 1 hour per month	973	1095153.4	10.7%
6	Valid skip	17564	18799229.8	
7	Don't know	54	58689.7	
8	Refusal	14	12772.4	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CER_120: Member or participant - 12 months - Political party/group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27501 / 29099143.234] [Invalid=33 / 32769.699]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the 12 months , were you a member or participant in: political party or group?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1250	1240065.8	4.3%
2	No	26251	27859077.4	95.7%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	13	11598.5	
8	Refusal	20	21171.2	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CER_140: Member or participant - 12 months - Sports/recreational org.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27514 / 29117150.325] [Invalid=20 / 14762.608]

File : gss27pumf

CER_140: Member or participant - 12 months - Sports/recreational org.

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the 12 months , were you a member or participant in: a sports or recreational organization (such as a hockey league, health club, or golf club)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7826	8999823.3	30.9%
2	No	19688	20117327.0	69.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	7	3979.5	
8	Refusal	13	10783.1	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CERD230C: Number of organization types - 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27534 / 29131912.933] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Number of types of groups, organizations and associations the respondent participated in the last 12 months
Notes	Derived from CER_Q110 to CER_Q230

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	9532	10043585.3	34.5%
1		7240	7987820.6	27.4%
2		4969	5361792.8	18.4%
3		3019	3042016.3	10.4%
4		1591	1654739.7	5.7%
5	5 or more	1183	1041958.2	3.6%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	0	0.0	
8	Refusal	0	0.0	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

GRP_30E: Internet participation - Email/forums/social networks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7441 / 8307145.934] [Invalid=20093 / 20824767]
Universe	GRP_Q20 > 0 or GRP_Q25 = 1
Literal question	How do you use the Internet to participate in [this group/these groups]? Emails, blogs, forums or social networks

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4311	4885954.4	58.8%
2	No	3130	3421191.5	41.2%
6	Valid skip	20071	20805785.3	
7	Don't know	15	13678.0	
8	Refusal	3	3198.1	
9	Not stated	4	2105.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gss27pumf

VBR_10: Last federal election - Voted

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=26118 / 27591391.421] [Invalid=1416 / 1540521.512]

Universe AGE > = 18

Literal question Did you vote in the last federal election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	18573	20143624.5	73.0%
2	No	7545	7447766.9	27.0%
6	Valid skip	1185	1291487.8	
7	Don't know	140	146245.6	
8	Refusal	68	80965.6	
9	Not stated	23	21822.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VBR_15: Last federal election - Eligibility

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7476 / 7383871.354] [Invalid=20058 / 21748041.579]

Universe VBR_Q10 = 2

Literal question Were you eligible to vote in the last federal election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4182	4777182.8	64.7%
2	No	3294	2606688.6	35.3%
6	Valid skip	19989	21684146.0	
7	Don't know	61	55019.0	
8	Refusal	8	8876.6	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SVR_10: Canadian shared values - Human rights

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=26924 / 28557907.795] [Invalid=610 / 574005.138]

Universe All respondents

Literal question To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?
 Human rights

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	14891	15743524.8	55.1%
2	To a moderate extent	10483	11191521.4	39.2%
3	To a small extent	1250	1306708.8	4.6%
4	Not at all	300	316152.7	1.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	514	455170.9	
8	Refusal	96	118834.3	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gss27pumf

SVR_25: Canadian shared values - Respect for the law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27119 / 28770582.109] [Invalid=415 / 361330.824]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Respect for the law

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	13404	13646889.7	47.4%
2	To a moderate extent	11758	13020910.8	45.3%
3	To a small extent	1641	1782489.5	6.2%
4	Not at all	316	320292.1	1.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	342	283227.6	
8	Refusal	73	78103.3	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SVR_30: Canadian shared values - Gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26955 / 28638357.704] [Invalid=579 / 493555.229]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Gender equality

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	12838	13728940.1	47.9%
2	To a moderate extent	12097	12886990.4	45.0%
3	To a small extent	1694	1731120.1	6.0%
4	Not at all	326	291307.1	1.0%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	475	381878.9	
8	Refusal	104	111676.3	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SVR_35: Canadian shared values - Official languages

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26785 / 28478488.244] [Invalid=749 / 653424.689]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) English and French as Canada's official languages

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	8999	8906008.2	31.3%
2	To a moderate extent	11406	12166456.1	42.7%
3	To a small extent	5139	6020505.2	21.1%
4	Not at all	1241	1385518.7	4.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	643	536952.0	

File : gss27pumf

SVR_35: Canadian shared values - Official languages

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Refusal	106	116472.7	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SVR_40: Canadian shared values - Ethnic and cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26656 / 28329860.457] [Invalid=878 / 802052.476]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Ethnic and cultural diversity

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	11518	11896110.4	42.0%
2	To a moderate extent	11966	12926870.8	45.6%
3	To a small extent	2681	2966157.5	10.5%
4	Not at all	491	540721.8	1.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	764	679552.4	
8	Refusal	114	122500.1	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SVR_45: Canadian shared values - Respect for Aboriginal culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26683 / 28363073.77] [Invalid=851 / 768839.164]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	(To what extent do you feel that Canadians share the following values?) Respect for Aboriginal culture

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	To a great extent	7786	7584337.8	26.7%
2	To a moderate extent	11481	12181451.5	42.9%
3	To a small extent	6185	7129765.4	25.1%
4	Not at all	1231	1467519.1	5.2%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	735	645973.5	
8	Refusal	116	122865.7	
9	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MAR_110: Main activity - 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27469 / 29051481.43] [Invalid=65 / 80431.504]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	During the 12 months , was your main activity working at a paid job or business, looking for paid work, going to school, caring for children, household work, retired or something else?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Working at a paid job or business	14561	16283191.1	56.0%

File : gss27pumf

MAR_110: Main activity - 12 months

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Looking for paid work	556	645467.5	2.2%
3	Going to school	3044	3624380.5	12.5%
4	Caring for children	1083	1186800.2	4.1%
5	Household work	670	623115.4	2.1%
6	Retired	6278	5448684.3	18.8%
7	Maternity/paternity or parental leave	124	145003.3	0.5%
8	Long term illness	651	596410.1	2.1%
9	Volunteering/care-giving other than for children	293	268008.9	0.9%
10	Other - Specify	209	230420.1	0.8%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	14	13359.5	
98	Refusal	51	67072.0	
99	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

EHG_ALL: Education - Highest degree

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27335 / 28928906.403] [Invalid=199 / 203006.53]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the highest certificate, diploma or degree that ^YOU1 ^HAVE completed?
Notes	Derived from EHG1_Q01 and EHG3_Q01

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than high school diploma or its equivalent	4281	4298936.3	14.9%
2	High school diploma or a high school equivalency certificate	7135	7747230.6	26.8%
3	Trade certificate or diploma	2125	2362171.6	8.2%
4	College/CEGEP/other non-university certificate or diploma	5265	5794065.2	20.0%
5	University certificate or diploma below the bachelor's level	1060	1045665.7	3.6%
6	Bachelor's degree (e.g. B.A., B.Sc., LL.B.)	4755	5069260.4	17.5%
7	University certificate, diploma, degree above the BA level	2714	2611576.6	9.0%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	58	41189.3	
98	Refusal	87	110450.8	
99	Not stated	54	51366.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BRTHMACR: Place of birth of respondent - Geographical macro-region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9622 / 6777228.262] [Invalid=17912 / 22354684.671]
Universe	BRTHCAN ne 1
Literal question	Place of birth of respondent - Geographical macro-region

File : gss27pumf

BRTHMACR: Place of birth of respondent - Geographical macro-region

Notes	This derived variable indicates the geographical macro-region of birth of respondents born outside of Canada based on the Standard Classification of Countries and Areas of Interest (SCCAI) 2010. For more information about SCCAI, please refer to: http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/subjects/standard/sccai/2010/sccai (http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/subjects/standard/sccai/2010/sccai). Oceania includes Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands, and United States Minor Outlying Islands. Derived from BRTHCAN and BPR_B01
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Americas	1591	1180754.2	17.4%
2	Europe	2957	2280927.4	33.7%
3	Africa	909	602186.8	8.9%
4	Asia	4065	2644809.5	39.0%
5	Oceania	100	68550.3	1.0%
6	Other	0	0.0	
96	Valid skip	17704	22139305.2	
97	Don't know	14	12043.3	
98	Refusal	65	43329.9	
99	Not stated	129	160006.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BPR_16: Landed immigrant status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9581 / 6769372.006] [Invalid=17953 / 22362540.927]
Universe	BRTHCAN ne 1
Literal question	Are you now, or have you ever been a landed immigrant in Canada?
Notes	A landed immigrant, or permanent resident, is a person who has been granted the right to live in Canada permanently by immigration authorities.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8786	5998681.5	88.6%
2	No	795	770690.5	11.4%
6	Valid skip	17721	22158085.5	
7	Don't know	111	50826.4	
8	Refusal	55	54568.0	
9	Not stated	66	99061.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

AMB_01: Aboriginal group - Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18274 / 22445980.104] [Invalid=9260 / 6685932.83]
Universe	BPRCODE = 11124, 11840, 21276 or 11304
Literal question	Are you an Aboriginal person, that is, First Nations, Métis or Inuk (Inuit)? First Nations includes Status and Non-Status Indians.
Notes	This question was asked of respondents who were born in Canada (BPRCODE = 11124), the United States (BPRCODE = 11840), Germany (BPRCODE = 21276) or Greenland (BPRCODE = 11304).

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	844	1061967.9	4.7%
2	No	17430	21384012.2	95.3%
6	Valid skip	9071	6462789.6	

File : gss27pumf

AMB_01: Aboriginal group - Respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Don't know	80	101021.3	
8	Refusal	47	48617.7	
9	Not stated	62	73504.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VISMIN: Visible minority status of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27188 / 28759761.536] [Invalid=346 / 372151.397]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Visible minority status of the respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Visible minority	6207	4772104.4	16.6%
2	Not a visible minority	20981	23987657.2	83.4%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	51	34845.1	
8	Refusal	72	70975.9	
9	Not stated	223	266330.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SBL_100: Sense of belonging - Local community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27280 / 28836207.569] [Invalid=254 / 295705.365]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you describe your sense of belonging to your local community? Would you say it is...?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong	9118	9321390.2	32.3%
2	Somewhat strong	12853	13689658.9	47.5%
3	Somewhat weak	3274	3641930.0	12.6%
4	Very weak	1286	1374939.5	4.8%
5	No opinion	749	808289.0	2.8%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	84	65913.1	
8	Refusal	47	57544.7	
9	Not stated	123	172247.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SBL_500: Sense of belonging - Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27242 / 28798167.592] [Invalid=292 / 333745.341]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What about your sense of belonging to Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong	17635	18355685.6	63.7%

File : gss27pumf

SBL_500: Sense of belonging - Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Somewhat strong	7510	7989565.8	27.7%
3	Somewhat weak	1248	1495077.8	5.2%
4	Very weak	489	582949.0	2.0%
5	No opinion	360	374889.3	1.3%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	70	52010.7	
8	Refusal	90	95029.5	
9	Not stated	132	186705.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SBL_700: Sense of belonging - Country of origin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9615 / 6764356.309] [Invalid=17919 / 22367556.624]			
Universe	BRHTCAN ne 1			
Literal question	What about (your sense of belonging) to your country of origin?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong	3539	2406527.7	35.6%
2	Somewhat strong	3281	2251190.1	33.3%
3	Somewhat weak	1519	1127313.7	16.7%
4	Very weak	1022	769951.9	11.4%
5	No opinion	254	209373.0	3.1%
6	Valid skip	17721	22158085.5	
7	Don't know	55	48299.2	
8	Refusal	49	42495.0	
9	Not stated	94	118676.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PCT_10: Trust people in general

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27015 / 28573247.863] [Invalid=519 / 558665.07]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you cannot be too careful in dealing with people?			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Most people can be trusted	14530	15295627.7	53.5%
2	You cannot be too careful in dealing with people	12485	13277620.2	46.5%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	256	223694.8	
8	Refusal	97	104868.9	
9	Not stated	166	230101.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TIP_10: Trust - Members of family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27212 / 28756446.725] [Invalid=322 / 375466.208]

File : gss27pumf

TIP_10: Trust - Members of family

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 means 'Cannot be trusted at all' and 5 means 'Can be trusted a lot', how much do you trust each of the following groups of people: People in your family?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cannot be trusted at all	202	198648.9	0.7%
2	...	240	226990.5	0.8%
3	...	1130	1145254.2	4.0%
4	...	3652	4024917.2	14.0%
5	Can be trusted a lot	21988	23160635.9	80.5%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	73	53873.4	
8	Refusal	70	76388.8	
9	Not stated	179	245204.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TIP_15: Trust - People in neighbourhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26974 / 28543411.446] [Invalid=560 / 588501.488]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 means 'Cannot be trusted at all' and 5 means 'Can be trusted a lot', how much do you trust each of the following groups of people: People in neighbourhood?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cannot be trusted at all	1013	960035.3	3.4%
2	...	2015	2138945.2	7.5%
3	...	7646	8467606.2	29.7%
4	...	9312	9963613.9	34.9%
5	Can be trusted a lot	6988	7013210.9	24.6%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	295	246867.7	
8	Refusal	84	94231.9	
9	Not stated	181	247401.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TIP_20: Trust - People from work or school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18513 / 20834657.936] [Invalid=9021 / 8297254.998]
Universe	(MAR_Q110 = 1 or 3) or MAR_Q133 = 1
Literal question	Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 means 'Cannot be trusted at all' and 5 means 'Can be trusted a lot', how much do you trust each of the following groups of people: People you work with or go to school with?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cannot be trusted at all	380	370873.0	1.8%
2	...	1065	1264887.7	6.1%
3	...	4594	5237183.0	25.1%
4	...	7650	8708685.2	41.8%
5	Can be trusted a lot	4824	5253029.1	25.2%

File : gss27pumf

TIP_20: Trust - People from work or school

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	Valid skip	8604	7830336.0	
7	Don't know	161	150589.3	
8	Refusal	93	95173.2	
9	Not stated	163	221156.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TIP_25: Trust - Strangers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26723 / 28309827.132] [Invalid=811 / 822085.801]
Literal question	Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 means 'Cannot be trusted at all' and 5 means 'Can be trusted a lot', how much do you trust each of the following groups of people: Strangers

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cannot be trusted at all	7263	7496958.6	26.5%
2	...	6476	7154023.0	25.3%
3	...	9157	9766098.5	34.5%
4	...	3086	3180731.0	11.2%
5	Can be trusted a lot	741	712016.0	2.5%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	499	438040.1	
8	Refusal	128	135742.6	
9	Not stated	184	248303.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TNP_10: Trust - Neighbourhood people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27095 / 28655075.649] [Invalid=439 / 476837.284]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say that you trust...?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Most of the people in your neighbourhood	11704	12166405.8	42.5%
2	Many of the people (in your neighbourhood)	6499	7086454.3	24.7%
3	A few of the people (in your neighbourhood)	7699	8276185.3	28.9%
4	Nobody (in your neighbourhood)	1193	1126030.2	3.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	175	157948.5	
8	Refusal	77	69104.0	
9	Not stated	187	249784.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_10: Experienced discrimination - Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27125 / 28664084.651] [Invalid=409 / 467828.282]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your sex?

File : gss27pumf

DIS_10: Experienced discrimination - Sex

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2678	2996028.2	10.5%
2	No	24447	25668056.5	89.5%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	83	74020.7	
8	Refusal	63	71991.2	
9	Not stated	263	321816.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_15: Experienced discrimination - Ethnicity or culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27101 / 28651128.128] [Invalid=433 / 480784.805]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your ethnicity or culture?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3253	3102865.5	10.8%
2	No	23848	25548262.6	89.2%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	66	40745.7	
8	Refusal	104	118222.7	
9	Not stated	263	321816.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_20: Experienced discrimination - Race or skin colour

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27089 / 28624997.106] [Invalid=445 / 506915.828]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your race or culture

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2813	2709882.8	9.5%
2	No	24276	25915114.3	90.5%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	51	30583.5	
8	Refusal	130	153084.8	
9	Not stated	264	323247.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_25: Experienced discrimination - Physical appearance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27112 / 28653593.774] [Invalid=422 / 478319.159]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your physical appearance (other than skin colour)?

File : gss27pumf

DIS_25: Experienced discrimination - Physical appearance

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2697	3123758.1	10.9%
2	No	24415	25529835.6	89.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	74	52598.8	
8	Refusal	82	99917.7	
9	Not stated	266	325802.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_30: Experienced discrimination - Religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27104 / 28629367.387] [Invalid=430 / 502545.547]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your religion?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1378	1468601.8	5.1%
2	No	25726	27160765.5	94.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	34	29762.4	
8	Refusal	130	146980.5	
9	Not stated	266	325802.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_35: Experienced discrimination - Sexual orientation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27074 / 28601183.755] [Invalid=460 / 530729.178]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your sexual orientation?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	459	514967.1	1.8%
2	No	26615	28086216.6	98.2%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	44	30937.0	
8	Refusal	149	169014.8	
9	Not stated	267	330777.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_40: Experienced discrimination - Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27036 / 28571822.363] [Invalid=498 / 560090.571]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your age?

File : gss27pumf

DIS_40: Experienced discrimination - Age

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2540	2941087.4	10.3%
2	No	24496	25630735.0	89.7%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	56	46099.3	
8	Refusal	174	182956.5	
9	Not stated	268	331034.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_45: Experienced discrimination - Physical or mental disability

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27061 / 28582719.284] [Invalid=473 / 549193.65]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: a disability (physical or mental)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1026	1089689.5	3.8%
2	No	26035	27493029.8	96.2%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	40	27934.6	
8	Refusal	164	188760.8	
9	Not stated	269	332498.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_50: Experienced discrimination - Language

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27098 / 28624049.975] [Invalid=436 / 507862.959]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: your language?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2067	1953052.2	6.8%
2	No	25031	26670997.7	93.2%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	29	18469.9	
8	Refusal	138	156894.8	
9	Not stated	269	332498.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_55: Experienced discrimination - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26158 / 27517967.136] [Invalid=1376 / 1613945.797]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of: some other reason?

File : gss27pumf

DIS_55: Experienced discrimination - Other

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes - Specify	555	591360.6	2.1%
2	No	25603	26926606.5	97.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	41	25280.2	
8	Refusal	1066	1256167.3	
9	Not stated	269	332498.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DISCRIM: Victim of discrimination - 5 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26615 / 28116472.954] [Invalid=919 / 1015439.98]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Respondent has been a victim of discrimination in the past five years
Notes	Derived from DIS_Q10 to DIS_Q55

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8135	8687840.8	30.9%
2	No	18480	19428632.2	69.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	128	100376.2	
8	Refusal	524	585764.6	
9	Not stated	267	329299.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_20: Experienced discrimination - Bank, store, restaurant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8069 / 8619230.779] [Invalid=19465 / 20512682.155]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: in a store, bank or restaurant?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3434	3717995.7	43.1%
2	No	4635	4901235.1	56.9%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	38	32685.0	
8	Refusal	25	30142.5	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_25: Experienced discrimination - Work environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8086 / 8642248.536] [Invalid=19448 / 20489664.397]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: at work or when applying for a job or promotion?

File : gss27pumf

DTS_25: Experienced discrimination - Work environment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3917	4228683.2	48.9%
2	No	4169	4413565.4	51.1%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	22	13513.5	
8	Refusal	24	26296.3	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_30: Experienced discrimination - Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8061 / 8605362.648] [Invalid=19473 / 20526550.285]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when dealing with police?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	900	1035442.8	12.0%
2	No	7161	7569919.8	88.0%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	22	24520.1	
8	Refusal	49	52175.5	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_35: Experienced discrimination - Courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8039 / 8581570.113] [Invalid=19495 / 20550342.821]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when dealing with the courts?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	390	419185.2	4.9%
2	No	7649	8162384.9	95.1%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	30	26348.2	
8	Refusal	63	74140.0	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_60: Experienced discrimination - Canadian border

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8085 / 8637705.355] [Invalid=19449 / 20494207.578]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: when crossing the border into Canada?

File : gss27pumf

DTS_60: Experienced discrimination - Canadian border

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	840	840832.3	9.7%
2	No	6400	6885510.1	79.7%
3	Have not crossed the border in past 5 years	845	911363.0	10.6%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	13	8444.2	
8	Refusal	34	35908.8	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DTS_65: Experienced discrimination - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7640 / 8095313.032] [Invalid=19894 / 21036599.902]
Universe	DISCRIM = 1
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination in the past 5 years? Was it: any other situation?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes - Specify	2125	2184539.4	27.0%
2	No	5515	5910773.7	73.0%
6	Valid skip	19394	20440647.4	
7	Don't know	23	15493.7	
8	Refusal	469	571251.6	
9	Not stated	8	9207.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SRH_110: Self-rated general health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27189 / 28697135.846] [Invalid=345 / 434777.087]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In general, would you say your health is ...?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Excellent	6052	6738345.3	23.5%
2	Very good	10295	11168397.9	38.9%
3	Good	7552	7653786.8	26.7%
4	Fair	2497	2440316.5	8.5%
5	Poor	793	696289.3	2.4%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	21	22166.8	
8	Refusal	44	53195.2	
9	Not stated	280	359415.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SLM_01: Subjective well-being

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27128 / 28643494.474] [Invalid=406 / 488418.459]
Universe	All respondents

File : gss27pumf

SLM_01: Subjective well-being

Literal question Using a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means "Very dissatisfied" and 10 means "Very satisfied", how do you feel about your life as a whole right now?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Very dissatisfied	123	107722.0	0.4%
1		117	133116.5	0.5%
2		170	198906.0	0.7%
3		352	370661.1	1.3%
4		424	472523.7	1.6%
5		1721	1672970.0	5.8%
6		1499	1607704.3	5.6%
7		4293	4749523.0	16.6%
8		8144	8687018.0	30.3%
9		4540	4967871.6	17.3%
10	Very satisfied	5745	5675478.4	19.8%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	82	73216.5	
98	Refusal	42	53391.2	
99	Not stated	282	361810.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LRN_10: Length of time lived in neighbourhood

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=15299 / 15586847.605] [Invalid=12235 / 13545065.328]

Universe LRD_Q10 ne 6

Literal question How long have you lived in this neighbourhood?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 6 months	876	975736.7	6.3%
2	6 months to less than 1 year	722	833833.8	5.3%
3	1 year to less than 3 years	3318	3333688.5	21.4%
4	3 years to less than 5 years	3197	3150660.3	20.2%
5	5 years to less than 10 years	5174	5228233.8	33.5%
6	10 years and over	2012	2064694.5	13.2%
96	Valid skip	11833	13063700.7	
97	Don't know	36	29475.5	
98	Refusal	81	87269.2	
99	Not stated	285	364619.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

QIN_10: Knows people in neighbourhood

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=27145 / 28647377.122] [Invalid=389 / 484535.811]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Would you say that you know ...?

File : gss27pumf

QIN_10: Knows people in neighbourhood

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Most of the people in your neighbourhood	5692	5912399.1	20.6%
2	Many of the people in your neighbourhood	5656	6205860.0	21.7%
3	A few of the people in your neighbourhood	14447	15173067.1	53.0%
4	None of the people in your neighbourhood	1350	1356051.0	4.7%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	51	44228.9	
8	Refusal	48	69811.7	
9	Not stated	290	370495.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

QIN_20: Neighbourhood a place where neighbours help each other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26372 / 28006100.732] [Invalid=1162 / 1125812.201]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours help each other?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	22036	23223262.3	82.9%
2	No	4336	4782838.4	17.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	784	668743.7	
8	Refusal	87	85962.2	
9	Not stated	291	371106.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

QIN_30: Respondent has done a favour for a neighbour in past month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27099 / 28619107.993] [Invalid=435 / 512804.941]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	18559	19689740.1	68.8%
2	No	8255	8607468.1	30.1%
3	Just moved into the area	285	321899.8	1.1%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	65	61584.4	
8	Refusal	78	79719.3	
9	Not stated	292	371501.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

QIN_40: Neighbours have done a favour for respondent in past month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27054 / 28560888.622] [Invalid=480 / 571024.311]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past month, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?

File : gss27pumf

QIN_40: Neighbours have done a favour for respondent in past month

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	17425	18540922.4	64.9%
2	No	9386	9754895.0	34.2%
3	Just moved into the area	243	265071.2	0.9%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	90	97647.5	
8	Refusal	97	100474.1	
9	Not stated	293	372902.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

QIN_50: Number of people known well enough to ask favour

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27049 / 28598975.895] [Invalid=485 / 532937.038]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	About how many people in your neighbourhood do you know well enough to ask for a favour?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	2494	2548970.7	8.9%
1	1 to 5	16499	17601339.4	61.5%
2	6 to 10	4695	5004870.2	17.5%
3	Over 10	3361	3443795.6	12.0%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	136	107716.3	
8	Refusal	56	52318.0	
9	Not stated	293	372902.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RELIG7: Religion of respondent - 7 categories

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26280 / 27896245.949] [Invalid=1254 / 1235666.984]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Religion of respondent - 7 categories
Notes	Derived from RELIGCD

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Buddhist	295	233703.3	0.8%
2	Christian	18906	19720780.1	70.7%
3	Hindu	364	338100.8	1.2%
4	Jewish	226	287282.2	1.0%
5	Islam (Muslim)	1022	764008.2	2.7%
6	Other	443	496895.0	1.8%
7	No religion	5024	6055476.3	21.7%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	291	371192.9	
98	Refusal	360	347397.4	
99	Not stated	603	517076.7	

File : gss27pumf

RELIG7: Religion of respondent - 7 categories

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REE_02: Frequency of religious participation - 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26986 / 28504541.949] [Invalid=548 / 627370.984]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Not counting events such as weddings or funerals, during the 12 months , how often did you participate in religious activities or attend religious services or meetings?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At least once a week	5406	4671969.2	16.4%
2	At least once a month	2888	2779113.3	9.7%
3	At least 3 times a year	2711	2943787.4	10.3%
4	Once or twice a year	4075	4639569.1	16.3%
5	Not at all	11906	13470102.9	47.3%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	44	33538.9	
8	Refusal	209	218752.3	
9	Not stated	295	375079.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REE_03: Frequency of religious participation - On one's own - 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26875 / 28397116.83] [Invalid=659 / 734796.103]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the 12 months , how often did you engage in religious or spiritual activities on your own, including prayer, meditation and other forms of worship taking place at home or in any other location?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At least once a day	7261	6672769.7	23.5%
2	At least once a week	4133	4055935.1	14.3%
3	At least once a month	2403	2629186.9	9.3%
4	At least 3 times a year	1237	1358514.6	4.8%
5	Once or twice a year	1765	1963711.6	6.9%
6	Not at all	10076	11716998.8	41.3%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	105	96748.1	
98	Refusal	257	256185.4	
99	Not stated	297	381862.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RLR_110: Importance of religious or spiritual beliefs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26901 / 28428656.438] [Invalid=633 / 703256.495]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How important are your religious or spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life? Would you say they are...?

File : gss27pumf

RLR_110: Importance of religious or spiritual beliefs

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	11213	10209772.2	35.9%
2	Somewhat important	7496	8246593.4	29.0%
3	Not very important	3647	4381647.3	15.4%
4	Not at all important	4545	5590643.6	19.7%
6	Valid skip	0	0.0	
7	Don't know	123	111313.1	
8	Refusal	212	209288.4	
9	Not stated	298	382655.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

INCM: Annual personal income of the respondent - 2012

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20541 / 21682417.644] [Invalid=6993 / 7449495.29]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Annual personal income of the respondent - 2012
Notes	Derived from INR_Q025, INR_Q032, INR_Q033, INR_Q034 and INR_Q035

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No income	1855	1914752.6	8.8%
2	Less than \$ 5,000	741	794704.4	3.7%
3	\$ 5,000 to \$ 9,999	827	943400.4	4.4%
4	\$ 10,000 to \$ 14,999	1403	1498547.7	6.9%
5	\$ 15,000 to \$ 19,999	1228	1148081.3	5.3%
6	\$ 20,000 to \$ 29,999	2530	2454442.0	11.3%
7	\$ 30,000 to \$ 39,999	2624	2696345.7	12.4%
8	\$ 40,000 to \$ 49,999	2186	2247228.9	10.4%
9	\$ 50,000 to \$ 59,999	1756	1916879.5	8.8%
10	\$ 60,000 to \$ 79,999	2419	2667819.5	12.3%
11	\$ 80,000 to \$ 99,999	1238	1376487.9	6.3%
12	\$ 100,000 or more	1734	2023727.8	9.3%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	4732	5141512.3	
98	Refusal	1783	1751236.0	
99	Not stated	478	556747.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

INCMHSD: Total household income - 2012

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21564 / 23140166.911] [Invalid=5970 / 5991746.022]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Total household income - 2012
Notes	Derived from HSDSIZE, INCM, INR_Q032, INR_Q035, INR_Q040, INR_Q110, INR_Q120 and INR_Q140

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No income or loss	129	103972.3	0.4%

File : gss27pumf

INCMHSD: Total household income - 2012

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Less than \$ 5,000	170	183885.3	0.8%
3	\$ 5,000 to \$ 9,999	235	177413.7	0.8%
4	\$ 10,000 to \$ 14,999	598	467596.4	2.0%
5	\$ 15,000 to \$ 19,999	761	611361.3	2.6%
6	\$ 20,000 to \$ 29,999	1763	1471941.8	6.4%
7	\$ 30,000 to \$ 39,999	1998	1813502.6	7.8%
8	\$ 40,000 to \$ 49,999	1925	1823159.7	7.9%
9	\$ 50,000 to \$ 59,999	1884	1927615.4	8.3%
10	\$ 60,000 to \$ 79,999	3156	3331462.5	14.4%
11	\$ 80,000 to \$ 99,999	2460	2848653.1	12.3%
12	\$ 100,00 to \$ 149,999	3704	4594725.3	19.9%
13	\$ 150,000 or more	2781	3784877.3	16.4%
96	Valid skip	0	0.0	
97	Don't know	3217	3104578.9	
98	Refusal	1885	1888785.3	
99	Not stated	868	998381.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

WGHT_PER: Person weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10.0063-15868.1127] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27534 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1058.034 /-] [StdDev=1044.35 /-]
Literal question	Person weight

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999.9996	Valid skip		
99999.9997	Don't know		
99999.9998	Refusal		
99999.9999	Not stated		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.